

# Bayesian Analysis of DSGE Models

**Frank Schorfheide**

Department of Economics, University of Pennsylvania

## Solving DSGE Models

- A variety of numerical techniques are available to solve rational expectations systems.
- In the context of likelihood-based DSGE model estimation linear approximation methods are very popular because they lead to a state-space representation of the DSGE model that can be analyzed with the Kalman filter.
- Log-linearization of  $f(x)$ :
  1. write  $f(x) = f(e^z)$ ;
  2. conduct a first-order Taylor approximation around  $x_0$  in terms of  $z$ :

$$f(e^{\ln x}) \approx f(x_0) + x_0 f^{(1)}(x_0)(\ln x - \ln x_0).$$

## Solving DSGE Models

- Our DSGE model leads to:

$$\hat{y}_t = \mathbf{IE}_t[\hat{y}_{t+1}] + \hat{g}_t - \mathbf{IE}_t[\hat{g}_{t+1}] - \frac{1}{\tau} \left( \hat{R}_t - \mathbf{IE}_t[\hat{\pi}_{t+1}] - \mathbf{IE}[\hat{z}_{t+1}] \right) \quad (1)$$

$$\hat{\pi}_t = \beta \mathbf{IE}_t[\hat{\pi}_{t+1}] + \kappa(\hat{y}_t - \hat{g}_t) \quad (2)$$

$$\hat{c}_t = \hat{y}_t - \hat{g}_t, \quad (3)$$

$$\hat{g}_t = \rho_g \hat{g}_{t-1} + \epsilon_{g,t} \quad (4)$$

$$\hat{z}_t = \rho_z \hat{z}_{t-1} + \epsilon_{z,t} \quad (5)$$

where

$$\kappa = \tau \frac{1 - \nu}{\nu \pi^2 \phi}.$$

- Introduce  $\eta_t^\pi = \hat{\pi}_t - \mathbf{IE}_{t-1}[\hat{\pi}_t]$  and  $\eta_t^y = \hat{y}_t - \mathbf{IE}_{t-1}[\hat{y}_t]$ .

## Solving DSGE Models

- Linearized DSGE leads to linear rational expectations (LRE) system:

$$\Gamma_0(\theta)s_t = \Gamma_1(\theta)s_{t-1} + \Psi\epsilon_t + \Pi\eta_t \quad (6)$$

where

- $s_t$  is a vector of model variables,  $\epsilon_t$  is a vector of exogenous shocks,
  - $\eta_t$  is a vector of RE errors with elements  $\eta_t^x = \tilde{x}_t - \mathbf{IE}_{t-1}[\tilde{x}_t]$ , and
  - $s_t$  contains (among others) the conditional expectation terms  $\mathbf{IE}_t[\tilde{x}_{t+1}]$ .
- Solution methods for LREs: Blanchard and Kahn (1980), King and Watson (1998), Uhlig (1999), Anderson (2000), Klein (2000), Christiano (2002), Sims (2002).
  - Overall the solution in terms of  $s_t$  is of the form

$$s_t = \Phi_1(\theta)s_{t-1} + \Phi_\epsilon(\theta)\epsilon_t. \quad (7)$$

## Example

- Consider the simplified system:

$$\hat{y}_t = \mathbf{E}_t[\hat{y}_{t+1}] - \frac{1}{\tau}(\hat{R}_t - \mathbf{E}_t[\hat{\pi}_{t+1}]) \quad (8)$$

$$\hat{\pi}_t = \beta \mathbf{E}_t[\hat{\pi}_{t+1}] + \kappa \hat{y}_t \quad (9)$$

$$\hat{R}_t = \psi_1 \hat{\pi}_t + \epsilon_{R,t}. \quad (10)$$

- We will first focus on the evolution of the conditional expectations:

$$\Gamma_0 \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{E}_t[\hat{y}_{t+1}] \\ \mathbf{E}_t[\hat{\pi}_{t+1}] \end{bmatrix}}_{s_t} = \Gamma_1 \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{E}_{t-1}[\hat{y}_t] \\ \mathbf{E}_{t-1}[\hat{\pi}_t] \end{bmatrix}}_{s_{t-1}} + \Psi \epsilon_t + \Pi \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} \hat{y}_t - \mathbf{E}_{t-1}[\hat{y}_t] \\ \hat{\pi}_t - \mathbf{E}_{t-1}[\hat{\pi}_t] \end{bmatrix}}_{\eta_t}, \quad (11)$$

where  $\epsilon_t = \epsilon_{R,t}$ .

## Example

- The system matrices are

$$\Gamma_0 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1/\tau \\ 0 & \beta \end{bmatrix}, \quad \Gamma_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \psi_1/\tau \\ -\kappa & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \Psi = \begin{bmatrix} 1/\tau \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \Pi = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \psi_1/\tau \\ -\kappa & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

## Example

- Use method that is similar to Sims (2002) and has been extended to include sunspot equilibria by Lubik and Schorfheide (2003).
- We premultiply the above system by  $\Gamma_0$  to solve for  $\xi_t$ :

$$\xi_t = \Gamma_1^* \xi_{t-1} + \Psi^* \epsilon_t + \Pi^* \eta_t. \quad (12)$$

- We proceed with a Jordan decomposition of  $\Gamma_1^* = J\Lambda J^{-1}$ . Define  $w_t = J^{-1}\xi_t$  and write:

$$w_t = \Lambda w_{t-1} + J^{-1}\Psi^* \epsilon_t + J^{-1}\Pi^* \eta_t. \quad (13)$$

- A solution of the LRE model is a function

$$\eta_t = \eta_1(\epsilon_t) + \eta_2(\zeta_t), \quad (14)$$

where  $\zeta_t$  is a vector of sunspot shocks such that  $w_t$  is stable.

## Example

- In principle we can distinguish three cases:
  1. uniqueness: there exists a unique mapping from the structural shocks into the expectation errors that leads to a stable law of motion for  $w_t$ ;
  2. indeterminacy: there are multiple mappings from fundamental shocks and sunspot shocks into the expectation errors;
  3. non-existence: no stable rational expectations solution exists.

## Example

- If  $\psi_1 > 1$  both eigenvalues are unstable. The only stable solution is  $\xi_t = 0$ , obtained when

$$\Psi^* \epsilon_t + \Pi^* \eta_t = 0. \quad (15)$$

- Thus,

$$\eta_t = -\Pi^{*-1} \Psi^* \epsilon_t. \quad (16)$$

- It can be verified (using somewhat tedious algebra) that the the law of motion for output, inflation, and interest rates is of the form

$$\begin{bmatrix} y_t \\ \pi_t \\ R_t \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{\tau + \kappa\psi_1} \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ -\kappa \\ \tau \end{bmatrix} \epsilon_{R,t} \quad (17)$$

## Solving DSGE Models – Slightly More General

- Assuming that  $\Gamma_0$  is invertible and that  $\Gamma_1^*$  has no repeated eigenvalues we start our analysis from (13). We partition

$$w_t = \begin{bmatrix} w_{1,t} \\ w_{2,t} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \Lambda = \begin{bmatrix} \Lambda_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & \Lambda_{22} \end{bmatrix}$$

such that the partitions of  $w_t$  and  $\Lambda$  conform and  $\Lambda_{22}$  contains all the explosive eigenvalues of  $\Gamma_1^*$  on its diagonal.

- We write the unstable block of the transformed system as

$$\begin{aligned} w_{2,t} &= \Lambda_2 w_{2,t-1} + [J^{-1}\Psi^*]_2 \epsilon_t + [J^{-1}\Pi^*]_2 \eta_t \\ &= \Lambda_2 w_{2,t-1} + \tilde{\Psi} \epsilon_t + \tilde{\Pi} \eta_t \\ &= \Lambda_2 w_{2,t-1} + z_t. \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

- Thus, we can write

$$w_{2,t} = \Lambda_2^{-1} w_{t+1} - \Lambda_2^{-1} z_{t+1} = - \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (\Lambda_2^{-1})^j z_{t+j}. \quad (19)$$

- Notice that

$$w_{2,t} = \mathbf{E}_t[w_{2,t}] = \mathbf{E}_{t+1}[W_{2,t}] \quad (20)$$

- Hence,

$$w_{2,t} = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (\Lambda_2^{-1})^j \mathbf{E}_t[z_{t+j}] = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (\Lambda_2^{-1})^j \mathbf{E}_{t+1}[z_{t+j}] \quad (21)$$

- Since the  $\eta_t$ 's are rational expectations errors,  $\mathbf{E}_t[\eta_{t+j}] = 0$  for  $j > 0$  and

$$w_{2,t} = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (\Lambda_2^{-1})^j \tilde{\Psi} \mathbf{E}_t[\epsilon_{t+j}] = \Lambda_2^{-1} \tilde{\Pi} \eta_{t+1} + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (\Lambda_2^{-1})^j \tilde{\Psi} \mathbf{E}_{t+1}[\epsilon_{t+j}] \quad (22)$$

- Assuming that  $\tilde{\Pi}$  is invertible we can solve for  $\eta_{t+1}$

$$\eta_{t+1} = \tilde{\Pi}^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (\Lambda_2^{-1})^{j-1} \tilde{\Psi} (\mathbf{E}_t[\epsilon_{t+j}] - \mathbf{E}_{t+1}[\epsilon_{t+j}]) \quad (23)$$

- It can now be easily verified that  $\mathbb{E}_t[\eta_{t+1}] = 0$ . If  $\mathbb{E}_t[\epsilon_{t+j}] = 0$  for  $j > 0$  then the condition simplifies to

$$\eta_{t+1} = -\tilde{\Pi}^{-1}\tilde{\Psi}\epsilon_{t+1} \quad (24)$$

which implies that  $w_{2,t} = 0$  for all  $t$ , provided  $w_{2,0} = 0$ .

- Notice that once we have an expression for  $\eta_t$  in terms of the structural shock, we can substitute that expression into (6) to obtain a vector autoregressive law of motion for  $s_t$ .
- The general case is analyzed in Sims (2002). In particular, the assumption that  $\Gamma_0$  is invertible is relaxed and the Jordan decomposition is replaced by a General Complex Schur decomposition.

## **Solving DSGE Models – Nonlinear Methods**

- Second-order Perturbation Methods: Judd (1998), Collard and Juillard (2001), Jin and Judd (2002), Schmitt-Grohe and Uribe (2004), Kim, Kim, Schaumburg, Sims (2005), Swanson, Anderson, and Levin (2005).
- Judd's (1998) book covers various global approximation schemes.